

ICHP Best Practice Award Score Sheet

	Minimal 1	Developing 2	Achieving 3	Accomplished/Exemplary 4	Score	Weighted Score
Innovativeness Originality of the Project	The project implemented an established element, process or patient care practice. There is substantial information already published.	The project expands or adds to a known, existing element, process or patient care practice. There is substantial information already published on this concept.	The project expands or adds to an emerging element, process or patient care practice. Very little has been published on this concept.	Project introduces a new element, process or patient care practice to pharmacy practice. The concept has NOT been previously published.		Score ____ x 0.3
Project contribution in improving patient care	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Subjective impressions were used ❖ Measures selected to demonstrate improvement do not correlate well with the project's intent. ❖ Outcome measures were omitted in the assessment ❖ Results cannot be used directly or indirectly to make any changes to patient care 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ Improvement was observed in process measures. ❖ Outcome measures were omitted in the assessment. ❖ Results can be used indirectly to make minor changes to patient care 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ A mix of process and outcome measures were selected. ❖ Improvement was demonstrated in appropriate therapeutic endpoints, compliance rates, etc. ❖ Results can be used directly to make major changes that have some impact on patient care 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ A mix of process and outcome measures were selected. ❖ Improvement was demonstrated in outcome measures: appropriate therapeutic endpoints, compliance rates, etc. ❖ Improved outcomes were sustained over a period of time. ❖ Results can be used directly to make major changes that will have significant impact on patient care 		Score ____x 0.25
Project contribution to institution and/or pharmacy practice	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Subjective impressions were used ❖ Measures selected demonstrate improvement but do not correlate well with the project's intent ❖ Results did not demonstrate impact on 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ Results clearly demonstrated impact on institution or pharmacy practice through financial savings, quality improvement, or compliance with regulatory guidelines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ Results clearly demonstrated impact on institution or pharmacy practice through two of the following areas: financial savings, quality 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Appropriate objective measurement was used ❖ Use of resources resulting from project taken into consideration when appropriate (i.e. dollars, staff time, techniques, tools, etc). ❖ Results clearly demonstrated impact on institution or pharmacy practice 		Score ____x 0.2

ICHP Best Practice Award Score Sheet

	<p>institution or pharmacy practice though financial savings, quality improvement, and compliance with regulatory guidelines.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Results cannot be used directly or indirectly to make any changes on the system or institution 		<p>improvement, or compliance with regulatory guidelines</p>	<p>through each of financial savings, quality improvement, and compliance with regulatory guidelines</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Improved outcomes were sustained over a period of time 		
<p>Overall scope and quality of the project</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Project impacts only a portion of one department or discipline ❖ Pharmacy did not play a leadership role ❖ Results not generalizable to other practice sites ❖ Little to no data analysis was performed to support conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Project impacts an entire department or discipline ❖ Project impacts one component of the medication use system ❖ Pharmacy played minor role in project leadership ❖ Results generalizable to similar practice sites ❖ Data analysis was performed but does not support conclusions 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Project impacts multiple departments/disciplines ❖ Project impacts one component of the medication use system ❖ Pharmacy played collaborative role in project leadership ❖ Results generalizable to multiple other practice sites ❖ Data and analysis supported the conclusion 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Project was system-wide and broad in nature ❖ Project impacts multiple departments/disciplines ❖ Initiative encompassed multiple components of the medication use system ❖ Pharmacy played primary role in project leadership (e.g. designing, implementing, assessing, and disseminating of results) ❖ Results generalizable to all types of practice sites ❖ Data and analysis supported the conclusion 		<p>Score ____x 0.15</p>
<p>Quality of submission</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ No literature review was included to support the project 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Literature review was included but was not complete, appropriate and/or relevant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Literature review was complete, appropriate, and/or relevant 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Literature review was complete, appropriate, and/or relevant ❖ Discussion put results 		<p>Score ____x 0.1</p>

ICHP Best Practice Award Score Sheet

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Discussion does not address original problem and/or conclusions not consistent with results ❖ Frequent grammar or spelling mistakes (significantly interferes with readability) ❖ Assigned format not used ❖ No references included 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Discussion is an accurate summary of results but no attempt is made to apply results to current practice or put into context of previously published literature ❖ Some grammar or spelling mistakes (may interfere with readability to some degree) ❖ Assigned format not used ❖ Reference guidelines not followed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ Discussion attempted to put results into context of previously published literature or current practice, but is unclear or not complete ❖ Used assigned format ❖ Few grammar or spelling mistakes (does not interfere with readability) ❖ Follows reference guidelines 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> into context of previously published literature or current state of practice ❖ Used assigned format ❖ No grammar/spelling mistakes ❖ Follows reference guidelines 		
Overall Project Score						